

# Carbon stability in a Scottish lowland raised bog: Potential legacy effects of historical land use and implications for global change

**Authors:** Heike Schimmel<sup>1\*</sup>, Melanie Braun<sup>1</sup>, Jens-Arne Subke<sup>2</sup>, Wulf Amelung<sup>1,3</sup>, Roland Bol<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES), Soil Science and Soil Ecology, University of Bonn, Nussallee 13, 53115 Bonn, Germany


<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA Stirling, UK

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Bio- and Geosciences (IBG-3) Agrosphere, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52425 Jülich, Germany

\*Corresponding author

heike.schimmel@uni-bonn.de

Tel.: +49 228 73 5628



Graphical Abstract

## Highlights:

- The rate of aerobic peat decomposition depends on former land use
- Formerly afforested peat shows reduced respiration and high temperature sensitivity
- Former drainage of peat does not affect its temperature sensitivity
- 20 years of restoration have levelled effects of former drainage on respiration rates

## Abstract

Peatlands comprise major global stocks of soil organic carbon (SOC). Many degraded peatlands are currently being restored, but little is known to which degree former disturbances leave a 'legacy' in such restored peatlands, and subsequently how this impacts their response to global change. Our aims were to investigate if after 20 years of restoration (i) carbon stability may still be affected by the former land use and if (ii) restored bogs are less susceptible to nutrient input but (iii) more sensitive to temperature. We sampled the top- and subsoil of a formerly drained, a previously drained and afforested part and an unmanaged control site of a Scottish bog. We incubated peat from each part for determination of potential basal respiration, nutrient limitation and temperature sensitivity ( $Q_{10}$ ) of aerobic peat degradation. Lowest respiration rates were identified at the afforested site while nutrient addition had no significant effect on topsoil organic matter decomposition at all sites.  $Q_{10}$  values were significantly higher in the topsoil ( $2.6 \pm 0.3$  to  $2.8 \pm 0.2$ ) than in the subsoil. For the subsoil, the drained site ( $2.0 \pm 0.0$ ) showed significantly lower  $Q_{10}$  values than the afforested one ( $2.6 \pm 0.6$ ), while the control site had a  $Q_{10}$  of  $2.1 \pm 0.0$ , indicating contrasting temperature sensitivities of potential SOC losses following specific forms of disturbance. Overall, our data indicate that afforestation left a legacy on potential subsoil SOC losses with global warming. Such effects must be considered when integrating restored bogs into global data bases on peatlands' responses to global change.

**Key words:** peatlands, carbon dioxide, restoration,  $Q_{10}$ , incubation, nutrient limitation

## 1. Introduction

The anthropogenic use of peatlands enhances the emissions of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) through drainage and aeration of the peat. The aeration of naturally water saturated conditions leads to increased decomposition of soil organic matter (SOM) and greenhouse gas emissions, mainly in form of CO<sub>2</sub>. The conversion of pristine peatlands to arable and forested land accounts for 80% of the loss of peatlands worldwide (Joosten and Couwenberg, 2008). In Scotland, the majority of disturbed peat soils are affected by drainage and agricultural purposes, 17% of the deep peat soils (defined as > 40-50 cm depth; Vanguelova et al., 2018) are afforested while less than 10% of the lowland raised bogs are in a near-natural state (Artz et al., 2012; Vanguelova et al., 2018). Changes in soil chemical properties through aeration and litter input impact the soil carbon (C) cycle. Aerobic conditions favour microbial activity and enhance decay and thus, drive C emissions.

Pristine peatlands act as C sinks and store large amounts of C through the incomplete decomposition of organic matter. Generally, the C balance of peatlands is slightly positive with methane as the main C source. Drainage and usage shift the main emissions towards CO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in a net negative C balance (Parish et al., 2008). In the past 30 years, the rising awareness of the negative effects of peatland use has led to more frequent restoration efforts in order to regenerate peatlands as long-term C stores. However, the long-term success of this restoration work is still uncertain, especially if different land uses like drainage and afforestation have left legacies in carbon stability, nutrient limitations and temperature sensitivity.

Peatland restoration efforts may alter the quality, i.e. stability of soil organic carbon (SOC) in peat. Rewetting leads to a major deceleration of decomposition but former aeration can have reduced substrate quality by enhanced decomposition of SOM (Clymo, 1984; Drollinger et al., 2020). Nevertheless, Heller and Zeitz (2012) found that aerated and degraded peat contains less stable SOM than pristine peat. Restoration can return peat properties close to the natural state (Hermans et al., 2019), but how restoration success depends on different former land-uses of the peatlands and how it affects the carbon stability is largely unknown so far.

Even though restoration work attempts to restore the original functions of peatlands, former land use might have changed nutrient statuses in these ecosystems. As bogs are one major type of peatlands, they are in contrast to minerotrophic fens only rain-fed and thus, poor in nutrients. Changes in nutrient availability through land use are likely to have a particular influence here, as decomposition in pristine bogs is primarily limited by water saturation, a low pH and low nutrient concentrations (Chapin et al., 2003; Lafleur et al., 2005; Moore et al., 2008; Updegraff et al., 1995). However, aerated conditions and litter input through drainage and afforestation favour nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) mineralisation and hence, higher nutrient concentrations (Sundström et al., 2000; Wells and Williams, 1996). Especially under afforestation additional fertilization increases N and P availability (Anderson, 2001) which can remain high even several years after restoration (Gaffney et al., 2018; Konings et al., 2019). An increase in nutrient concentrations might result in intensified microbial activity (Vitousek et al., 2010) and thus, in higher decomposition rates (Silvola et al., 1985). How far management has offset potential nutrient limitations and whether there is a legacy despite of restoration is still uncertain. Due to the high atmospheric N deposition in Central Scotland, it is of particular importance to examine potential shifts in nutrient limitations and their impact on decomposition in restored and intact bogs.

Besides nutrient input, restored bogs have to cope with climate change and rising temperatures. A warmer climate might promote C loss from bogs as microbial activity increases with temperature, resulting in an intensified decomposition of SOM (Gregorich et al., 2017; Zimmermann et al., 2009). As one third of the global soil carbon pool is stored in peat, (Gorham, 1991), their sensitivity to temperature rise is of particular importance (Davidson and Janssens, 2006). Sensitivity of soil respiration to temperature rise is often indicated by the  $Q_{10}$  value, which gives the increase in soil respiration at a temperature rise of 10°C (Kirschbaum, 1995). Previous studies showed that more recalcitrant SOM is more sensitive to temperature than labile SOM (Bol et al., 2003; Hardie et al., 2011). Anthropogenic use of bogs through drainage promotes decomposition and peat degradation, resulting in an enrichment of stable and recalcitrant SOM moieties (Drollinger et al., 2020; Tfaily et al., 2014). Waddington et al.

(2001) showed that strongly decomposed SOM in a cutover bog had higher  $Q_{10}$  values than intact bogs. Yet, how other uses and especially their restoration affect the temperature sensitivity of formerly used bogs remains largely unknown.

Understanding how restored bogs react to increasing loads of nutrients and to temperature rise is important in predicting its response to global change. To elucidate the resilience of restored bogs to such processes, the potential decomposition of SOM can be estimated via the measurement of  $CO_2$  emissions (Smirnova et al., 2014). These can be measured either in the field or in the lab. In the lab, individual factors like temperature or nutrient supply can be specifically modified to determine their effect on microbial degradability (Kirschbaum, 2006). Consequently, lab incubations are a suitable method to pre-estimate potential  $CO_2$  emissions. Moore and Dalva (1997) even found that such potential  $CO_2$  emissions as in the lab may be similar to those found in the field. The aim of this study was to elucidate how different anthropogenic disturbances and afterward restoration impact on the carbon stability of the peat, especially regarding their response to nutrient inputs and temperature rise. In detail, we hypothesize that after 20 years of restoration, carbon stability in restored bogs remain altered compared to undisturbed bogs and that restored bogs are still less sensitive to nutrient input but more sensitive to temperature rise. To test these hypotheses, we analysed peat from a near-natural and restored lowland raised bog at Flanders Moss, Scotland. Within this bog, parts were drained or drained and further afforested before restored while other parts remained intact. As all sites had identical peatland genesis with external influences like climate and atmospheric deposition being similar for all sites, the design offers for the first time a direct comparison of the effects of different land uses on restoration effects on carbon stability. As an indicator for potential carbon turnover, samples were incubated under different nutrient supplies and temperatures under controlled conditions in a Respicond system in the lab.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Site description

Peat cores were collected at the Flanders Moss National Nature Reserve in the Central Belt of Scotland. It is located 15 km west of Stirling (56.159°N, 4.200°W) in a temperate oceanic climate with an average annual precipitation of 1131 mm a<sup>-1</sup> (1961-1990) and a mean annual temperature of 9.3°C. Flanders Moss is a lowland raised ombrotrophic bog with a current size of 860 ha of which 550 ha are in a near-natural condition. In the mid-1970s, a part of the bog was drained and planted with conifers. Another 100 ha of the bog were drained in the early 1980s. Restoration work at Flanders Moss started 1997 by removing planted conifers and by damming the ditches. The stumps at the afforested site were mulched to level the surface. The restoration measures of afforested sites depend on site conditions (Payne et al., 2018) and mulching is one possibility to deal with stumps during restoration work. Other possibilities are stump flipping and leaving stumps completely in the peat (Artz et al., 2018; Forest Research, 2009). Since then management intends to restore the original structure mainly by controlling the water table.

### 2.2. Sampling

Samples were taken in the southeast of the bog and in two different historical land use systems in November 2018. Historical land uses were *Drainage* (only drained without any further usage) and *Forestry* (drained and afforested). Additionally, one *Control* site without management was sampled (Figure 1 and Appendix Figure B.1). At each system we sampled three independent replicates, which were around 20 m apart from each other and not affected by surrounding management. At the formerly drained site, samples were taken between ditches and more than 3 m apart from a ditch. The different land uses were around 300 m apart from each other. The formerly afforested site borders the woody edge of the bog; to exclude boundary effects we sampled around 100 m away from the edge. Water table height at the date of sampling

was very close to the surface at all three sites (< 5 cm). We used a Russian Peat Corer and sampled a depth of 1 m. The Russian Peat Corer is a half-cylindrical sampler for soft sediments and water saturated samples, which gives semi-disturbed samples without sediment loss during sampling (Pitkänen et al., 2011).



*Figure 1: Aerial photo of Flanders Moss National Nature Reserve with the three different sampling sites marked with different colours, indicating the former land uses (blue = control site; red = drained site; green = drained and afforested site) (Esri ArcMap 10.3.1).*

Cores were divided into six sections (0-10, 10-20, 20-40, 40-60, 60-80 and 80-100 cm) for basic soil biochemical analysis. The topsoil of all 9 sites was additionally sampled using a border spate. Peat samples from 0 – 10 cm (“topsoil”) and 40 – 60 cm (“subsoil”) were used for the incubation experiment. Samples were kept cool until their transport to the laboratory at the University of Bonn. Samples for incubation were stored at 4°C for one month while all other samples for C, N and P contents were frozen for four months to maintain the status quo.

### 2.3. Soil analyses

The C and N content was determined for all sampled depths. To do so, the frozen samples were defrosted at 4°C. For determination of the water content, 10 g of wet peat was oven-dried at 105°C; remaining samples were oven-dried at 40°C and milled. The C and N contents were determined on milled samples using a CN analyser (Elementar Vario Micro Tube, Germany).



Based on sample weight, water content and C content of the samples, the C stock for the first meter depth was calculated. We are aware that this procedure might be biased as the soil core extraction can compact the peat and that a loss of water from the samples makes a sample volume measurement difficult. The calculated C stock is therefore only an estimation which is used to indicate differences between sites.

The following soil analyses were only carried out with the samples chosen for incubation (0-10 cm and 40-60 cm). The determination of the maximum water holding capacity ( $WHC_{max}$ ) and the actual peat moisture was performed mainly after Alef and Nannipieri (1995), modified by using 10 g wet peat instead of 20 g due to the low bulk density. The pH value was measured by adding 1M KCl to 10 g wet peat until 25 ml suspension was obtained. The degree of humification was determined using von Post humification index. Values range from 1 to 10 with 1 indicating no humification and 10 indicating completely humified peat (Ad-hoc-AG Boden, 2005). Total P content was determined via aqua regia extraction. Extracts were measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES Ultima 2, Horiba Scientific, Japan). All described soil analyses were carried out in duplicates.

#### 2.4. Soil Respiration Measurements

All incubation studies were carried out using a respirometer (Respicond VIII, Nordgren Innovations AB, Sweden). Respicond VIII continuously measures every 60 min the  $CO_2$  released from up to 94 samples. The plastic vessels are cylindrically shaped and have a volume of 250 ml. Closed vessels are placed in a water bath with a constant temperature which is covered by lid, enabling an incubation in the dark. Through decreases of electrical conductivity in the potassium hydroxide solution (KOH), which traps released  $CO_2$ , the respirometer measures the  $CO_2$  release per hour in each vessel (Nordgren, 1988), based on the following equation:

$$(1) CO_2 = A * \frac{C_{t0} - C_{t1}}{C_{t0}}$$



where  $A$  is a conductivity constant that depends on the molarity of the KOH solution,  $C_{t0}$  is the conductance of the fresh KOH measured at the beginning of the incubation time, and  $C_{t1}$  is the conductance at time  $t$ . Every incubation run was carried out with at least four blanks of empty vessels.

#### *2.4.1. Nutrient addition*

To assess the impact of nutrient input on SOM stability, we added N and P to topsoil samples (0-10 cm) in an incubation experiment. We here chose topsoil as nutrient input will enter the bog from the surface. An equivalent of 4 g dry peat was brought to 60 % of its  $WHC_{max}$  as this is reported to be an optimal soil moisture for incubation studies of peat (Wang et al., 2010) and recommended by ISO 17155 (2012), avoiding completely anaerobic conditions. We decided on 4 g dry peat as some samples had very low bulk densities and high  $WHC_{max}$ , so that the maximum filling height to avoid  $O_2$  limiting conditions was reached with 4 g dry peat. Living plants and living organic material like large roots were removed from the peat in order to avoid autotrophic respiration (Glatzel et al., 2004) and samples were put into plastic vessels. The peat was incubated at 20°C to provide optimal conditions for degradation. The respective ISO 16072 (2002) guideline recommends an incubation temperature of 20-25°C. Also, Creamer et al. (2014) reported that, independent of the geographical origin, samples incubated at 20°C showed a constant response while samples incubated at lower temperatures showed large variations. The samples had three days to equilibrate before nutrients were added. There were four different treatments with each three replicates per sample:

1. Control without nutrient addition (blank treatment),
2. Nitrogen addition (N treatment),
3. Phosphorus addition (P treatment),
4. Nitrogen and phosphorus addition (NP treatment).

$\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  was chosen as N source and  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  as P source. The amount of added N was based on the annual atmospheric N deposition in Flanders Moss, which is  $11.67 \text{ kg N a}^{-1} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  (average 2012-2014; Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 2019). We took the fivefold amount and added  $0.5002 \text{ mg N g dry peat}^{-1}$  to ensure non-limited conditions. For an estimation of P addition, an N:P ratio of 15:1 in the topsoil was assumed (Güsewell, 2004; Olde Venterink et al., 2003; Wang and Moore, 2014). Based on the added N and to achieve a N:P ratio of 15:1,  $0.0333 \text{ mg P g dry peat}^{-1}$  were added. Nutrients were dissolved in deionized water and added with  $0.5 \text{ ml}$  solution each. For the blank treatment without nutrient addition the samples received  $0.5 \text{ ml}$  of deionized water. Nutrients were added twice. The first addition was after 3 days equilibrium time, the second addition was after 26 days. Peat was homogenized with a spoon after adding nutrients to distribute the nutrients evenly in the peat. In total, peat was incubated for 45 days. During the experiment, the KOH was changed when the half of the capacity of the KOH to trap  $\text{CO}_2$  was reached. One sample (*Forestry*, P treatment) had to be excluded from the experiment after 10 days because KOH solution got into the sample when changing the lye.

#### 2.4.2. Temperature Rise

For determination of  $Q_{10}$  values we incubated peat from 0-10 cm and 40-60 cm depth. Again, an equivalent of  $4 \text{ g}$  of dry peat was rewetted to 60 % of its  $\text{WHC}_{\text{max}}$  with deionized water and homogenized with a spoon. All samples were incubated with four replicates each. Samples were preincubated at  $5^\circ\text{C}$  for three days to stabilize respiration and to level the effects of water addition (Blagodatsky et al., 2000; Meyer et al., 2018). Temperature steps were 5, 10, 15, 20 and  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . The temperature was held for 24 h each and KOH was changed every 24 h. The first 12 h after heating and changing KOH solution were equilibration time for the microorganisms to adapt to new circumstances. The subsequent 12 h were used as a medium respiration and used for the calculation as the average  $\text{CO}_2$  release per hour (Meyer et al., 2018).

## 2.5. Data Analysis

The calculation of  $Q_{10}$  values was performed in R (version 4.0.3). The average soil respiration per hour from the four vessels was used as the medium respiration rates for further calculations. To calculate the relationship between soil respiration and temperature, an exponential function was used. The equation (2) fits the soil respiration over the whole temperature range, where  $SR_T$  is the soil respiration at a certain temperature,  $a$  and  $b$  are fitted parameters and  $T$  is temperature:

$$(2) \quad SR_T = a \times \exp^{b \times T}$$

By inserting  $b$  into the equation (3), the  $Q_{10}$  value is calculated as:

$$(3) \quad Q_{10} = \exp^{10 \times b} \text{ (Meyer et al., 2018)}$$

Statistical analyses were performed in SigmaPlot (version 13.0). Normal distribution of the data was tested using Shapiro-Wilk test,  $P$  value to reject normality was 0.05. Equal variance was tested using Brown-Forsythe-Test, with a  $P$  value to reject of 0.05. When data did not meet assumptions for normal distribution, data were log-transformed. Normally distributed data with three groups were tested for significance with a one-way ANOVA. Samples which were spatially dependent of each other were tested for significant differences with a Repeated Measures ANOVA. To determine the influence of former land use and nutrients on respiration rates, a two-way ANOVA was performed with normally distributed data. ANOVA was followed by Holm-Sidak's post-hoc test. When comparing  $Q_{10}$  values of top- and subsoil for significant differences, a paired  $t$ -test was conducted for normally distributed data. Differences were considered significant for  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Peat characteristics

Contents of total organic carbon ( $C_{org}$ ) varied between 452 and 514 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and did not differ significantly between sites (Appendix Table A.1). The control and the drained site showed significantly higher  $C_{org}$  contents in subsoil layers than in the topsoil ( $p < 0.05$ ) while there were no significant differences between depths at the afforested site ( $p > 0.05$ ). Total N ( $N_{tot}$ ) contents at the drained and afforested site were significantly higher in the topsoil than in the subsoil ( $p < 0.05$ ) while there were no significant differences between depths at the control site. In 60-80 cm and 80-100 cm depth, the  $N_{tot}$  contents of both restored sites were significantly lower than at the control site ( $p < 0.05$ ). The C:N ratios ranged from 33.2 to 75.2 and increased with depth at the two restored sites ( $p < 0.05$ ). Bulk densities varied between 0.04 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and 0.21 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and significantly decreased with depth at all three sites ( $p < 0.05$ ) but were not significantly different between sites. The upper 0-10 cm of the formerly afforested site tended to have the highest values for the bulk density and for the C stock (Appendix Table A.1).

#### 3.2. Basal respiration

The cumulative basal respiration (blank treatment) over the incubation period of 45 days ranged from 18.6 ± 5.5 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g dry peat<sup>-1</sup> at the afforested site to 34.3 ± 9.1 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g dry peat<sup>-1</sup> and 40.0 ± 28.3 mg CO<sub>2</sub> g dry peat<sup>-1</sup> at the drained and the control site, respectively (Table 1). Samples of the control and drained site respired in total 2.4% and 2.0% of its total C content ( $C_{tot}$ ), respectively, while the afforested site respired 1.1% of its  $C_{tot}$  ( $p > 0.05$ ). Interestingly, in 0-10 cm depth, respiration and C stock showed a reversed trend as the site with the highest C stock had the lowest respiration rates (Appendix Table A.1; Figure 2).




Figure 2: Comparison of the mean carbon stock [ $n=3$ ] and mean accumulated basal respiration of the topsoil (0-10 cm) [ $n=3$ ] with standard deviation.

### 3.3. Nutritional dependence of carbon mineralization

After nutrient addition, the samples showed weak responses compared to the blank treatment. There was no significant effect of added nutrients on respiration rates at all three sites. Adding N led to decreased respiration rates, reducing the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> release at all three sites compared to the treatment without added nutrients, however, differences were not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ; Table 1). Under the P treatment the control site respired similar amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> than under the blank treatment. Respiration at the drained and the afforested site increased compared to the blank treatment but the difference was not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ; Table 1). Also, adding together N and P had no significant effect on the samples compared to the blank treatment at all three sites ( $p > 0.05$ ; Table 1). Generally, respiration rates did not differ significantly under nutrient treatments but the afforested site had overall significantly lower respiration rates than the other two sites ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 1).

Table 1: Mean accumulated mg CO<sub>2</sub> g dry peat<sup>-1</sup> after nutrient addition with standard deviation [n=3]. Nutrient treatments are coded as followed: Blank = no nutrient addition, N = Nitrogen addition, P = Phosphorus addition, NP = Nitrogen and phosphorus addition. Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between sites are indicated by lower case letter (<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>).

Treatment	Control	Drainage	Forestry
Blank	39.95 ± 28.3 <sup>a</sup>	34.32 ± 9.1 <sup>a</sup>	18.60 ± 5.5 <sup>b</sup>
N	33.78 ± 17.3 <sup>a</sup>	32.72 ± 15.4 <sup>a</sup>	12.20 ± 5.6 <sup>b</sup>
P	39.06 ± 24.3 <sup>a</sup>	40.85 ± 14.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.28 ± 7.2 <sup>b</sup>
NP	45.64 ± 33.9 <sup>a</sup>	32.92 ± 14.3 <sup>a</sup>	13.83 ± 4.2 <sup>b</sup>

### 3.4. Temperature dependence of carbon mineralization

In the topsoil, the aerobic CO<sub>2</sub> production was highest at the control site, increasing from 11.9 ± 7.7 µg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 5°C to 93.7 ± 45.7 µg CO<sub>2</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 25°C, while the drained and the afforested site had lower respiration rates. The afforested site showed a higher temperature sensitivity with a Q<sub>10</sub> value of 2.8, however with no significant difference in Q<sub>10</sub> with the other two sites ( $p > 0.05$ ; Figure 3).




Figure 3: Figure 3: Mean hourly respiration per gram dry peat with increasing temperature of (1) the topsoil (0-10 cm) and (2) the subsoil (40-60 cm) with standard deviation and Q<sub>10</sub> values (note the differences in y-axis scale). Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in Q<sub>10</sub> values between top- and subsoil are indicated by capital letter (<sup>A</sup>, <sup>B</sup>) and between sites within one depth are indicated by lower case letters (<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>).

In the subsoil, respiration rates were significantly lower than in the topsoil ( $p < 0.05$ ) by a factor of 3 to 10. Again, the control site had the highest CO<sub>2</sub> production, ranging from  $3.6 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{g CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  at 5°C to  $21.8 \pm 3.4 \mu\text{g CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  at 25°C (Figure 3). Q<sub>10</sub> values of the control site showed no significant differences to both restored sites ( $p < 0.05$ ), but the drained site had significant lower values than the afforested site ( $p < 0.05$ ). Generally, Q<sub>10</sub> values in the subsoil were significantly lower than in the topsoil ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Restoration effects on SOM decomposition

Anthropogenic use alters bog systems by aeration of the peat, resulting in an enhancement of decomposition (Leifeld et al., 2012). Therefore, we assumed that drainage and afforestation have changed SOM chemical properties and thus decomposition rates in restored bogs compared to intact bogs. In both incubation experiments the afforested site had the lowest respiration rates across all treatments, contrasting with higher CO<sub>2</sub> efflux at the intact and the drained sites (Figure 2 and Table 1). While the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were measured in an incubation experiment, Moore and Dalva (1997) showed that CO<sub>2</sub> release may be similar in field and lab measurements, indicating a potential transferability of incubation measurements to field measurements. Scanlon and Moore (2000) showed that the degree of decomposition is the most important factor controlling CO<sub>2</sub> production. Thus, the lower respiration rates at the afforested site might be caused by enhanced decomposition under forest management in the past, leaving behind lower substrate quality and elevated SOM recalcitrance (Bader et al., 2018; Wüst-Galley et al., 2016). Particularly, young and labile SOM have presumably been lost in the course of management, thus exposing more decomposed and recalcitrant peat at the surface (Leifeld et al., 2012).

The assumption of more decomposed topsoil peat at the restored sites is supported by the relative enrichment of N and lower C:N ratio in the topsoil of the drained and the afforested



site, as generally a narrower C:N ratio indicates increased microbial activity and mineralization (Krüger et al., 2015). Therefore, it can be assumed that the SOM of the restored sites was more decomposed, presumably through aeration during management (Bader et al., 2018). Also, the von Post humification index supports the theory that especially afforestation has enhanced decomposition as the afforested site had the highest humification values (Appendix Table A.2). In summary, past anthropogenic disturbance has likely increased peat mineralisation and caused a lowered substrate quality, which renders the current SOM more stable to decomposition than the fresh, undisturbed peat of the control plots. This increased carbon stability may have also applied for the drained site, which was not the case. There, differences in C stability could not be detected after 20 years of restoration and seemingly, restoration has levelled effects of long-term drainage on basal respiration. Hence, we have to at least partly refute our initial hypothesis that usage of peat still affects decomposition of restored bogs and thus assume additional effects from, e.g., altered litter quality and restoration practices.

There are several kinds of anthropogenic use of bog, which will presumably also influence the effects and success of restoration. At Flanders Moss, sections of the bog were drained, and some drained sections further afforested. Here, we observed a significant difference in the respiration rates of both restored sites (Table 1). Under afforestation, there is additional litter input from highly resistant wood-derived and lignin-rich material (Hermans et al., 2019; Minkinen et al., 2008). Additionally, for restoration, roots and stumps were mulched and mixed with surrounding peat and left in the peat body. These residues are more resistant to decomposers and need higher activation energy for decomposition than labile organic compounds (Kleber, 2010). In contrast to our results, Hermans et al. (2019) found no differences in CO<sub>2</sub> production between shallow cores from restored forest-to-bog plots and pristine bog plots, but higher a CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from an afforested bog. It indicates that restoration has levelled the influence of former forest plantation regarding decomposition and CO<sub>2</sub> production. There, trees were felled and left in furrows but the peat was not mixed with the stumps. Thus, at Flanders Moss we suspect that the impacts of the forest litter, and particularly

of the mulched stumps in the peat, contribute to the lower respiration rates of the formerly afforested bog relative to intact or drained peat soils. Consequently, for the afforested site we can confirm our hypothesis, i.e. that here the former usage changed decomposition and even more than 20 years of restoration could not yet diminish these effects. We conclude, that the potential legacy effect on SOM decomposition in bogs is mainly dependent on the type of former usage.

#### 4.2. Stability of SOM against increasing nutrient inputs in restored bogs

Bogs are naturally nutrient-poor ecosystems (Finlayson and Milton, 2016; Updegraff et al., 1995) but anthropogenic disturbance and enhanced mineralization can increase nutrient concentrations (Devito and Dillon, 1993; Gaffney et al., 2018). Therefore, it was suggested that nutrient limitations might have been offset by past anthropogenic use and that consequently, the intact site might show a higher susceptibility to nutrients than the restored sites. In this study, however, the nutrient additions did not lead to significant changes in respiration rates in either intact or restored sites. We observed that the mean values of all three sites indicated slightly decreased respiration after N addition, which cannot be statistically proven. Yet, this finding is consistent with literature evidences as a decrease in respiration after N addition was also observed for forest litter and soils as well as for peat soils (Aerts and Toet, 1997; Bowden et al., 2004; Mo et al., 2006), while also the opposite, an increase in peat decomposition under higher N supply, has been reported (Bragazza et al., 2006; Moore et al., 2019). According to the “microbial nitrogen mining” theory, decomposition might decline when N is sufficiently available (Craine et al., 2007), because microorganisms do not need to decompose recalcitrant material to access additional N sources. In this regard, the observed but statistically not significant decreased respiration after N addition supports the microbial N mining theory in that former N loads now provide a legacy in a way that increased atmospheric N deposition reduces the need for N mining and therewith “stabilizes” OM against rapid microbial mineralization.

High atmospheric N deposition in bogs dilutes P concentrations so that potentially shifts to P-limitation can occur (Larmola et al., 2013). A shift from N- and P-co-limitation to P-limitation was already observed in Central Europe and Eastern Canada, where atmospheric N deposition negates N-limitation in bogs (Wang and Moore, 2014). Generally, our samples showed large C:N:P ratios, which are based on data being affected by a high heterogeneity in nutrient contents between samples (Appendix Table A.1 and Table A.2). This variation might be caused by different predominant vegetation types during peat formation (Zhang et al., 2017). Nevertheless, all samples show low P contents and large C:P ratios, thus, a P-deficiency can be expected (Wang et al., 2014). The high N:P ratios of the incubated samples confirm P-limited conditions, which occur at N:P ratios >20:1 (Güsewell, 2004; Wang and Moore, 2014). Thus, we had expected an increase in respiration after P addition (Wright and Reddy, 2007). However, the P addition had no significant effect on neither the respiration rates of the intact nor of the restored sites compared to the blank treatment. Consequently, these potential P-limited conditions are more reflected in P contents and C:N:P ratios than in respiration rates upon P addition. We assume that all three sites are P-limited regardless of their former land use, but that other factors like water saturation and resulting anaerobic conditions influence decomposition more than this P-limitation.

The key factor that controls decomposition in peatlands is water saturation (Moore and Knowles, 1989; Scanlon and Moore, 2000). Generally, O<sub>2</sub> availability is the main factor determining CO<sub>2</sub> production (Fenner and Freeman, 2011; Philben et al., 2015). This assumption is confirmed by the fact that several samples showed peaks in respiration after venting the vessels, which indicate primarily O<sub>2</sub> limitation on decomposition in bog peat (Appendix Figure B.2; values directly after venting the vessels are excluded from calculation of respiration rates). Yet, these observations indicate that the height of the water table in the analysed bog is more important for decomposition and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution than nutrient limitation. This primary limitation in oxygen is likely to outweigh the secondary limitation in nutrients, as the observed weak response to the added nutrients implies that decomposition is not primarily nutrient-limited, because the microbial community of bogs might already be adapted to

nutrient-limited conditions (Hoyos-Santillan et al., 2018). As this seems to apply for the intact as well as for the restored sites, we have to refute our stated hypothesis, that the intact site shows a higher susceptibility to nutrient input. Future lab studies in this regard should thus be performed under variable water levels.

#### 4.3. Stability of SOM against temperature rise of restored bogs

To estimate potential feedback effects to climate change on the C balance of the restored bogs we determined the temperature sensitivity of SOM decomposition. The carbon quality temperature (CQT) hypothesis states that older and recalcitrant SOM is more sensitive to temperature than younger SOM moieties (Bosatta and Ågren, 1999; Davidson and Janssens, 2006). However, beside age, SOM quality also depends on land use (Kögel-Knabner and Amelung, 2014). Particularly drainage and afforestation can change SOM chemical properties and enhance decomposition (Wüst-Galley et al., 2016), making the remaining OM potentially more sensitive to temperature (Conant et al., 2008). This is in concordance with our findings, as the formerly afforested site had  $Q_{10}$  values similar to values found in cutover bogs (Waddington et al., 2001), which were in the subsoil significantly higher than those found at the formerly drained site. The higher temperature sensitivity can be attributed to the input and preservation of chemically recalcitrant litter in course of afforestation, resulting in low substrate quality and highest C stability. Hence, drainage alone has left no legacy in temperature sensitivity, while afforestation has stabilized both top- and subsoil against rapid decomposition but in agreement with the CQT hypotheses it has also made the site more sensitive to temperature rise. In contrast to drainage alone, afforestation has thus left a legacy effect in the microbial response to temperature rise that remains detectable 20 years after restoration, which implies that formerly afforested sites may need longer recovery times than peatlands with other former land uses.

As temperature sensitivity is affected by SOM quality, it is also a function of soil depth. In peatlands, SOM quality decreases with depth (Byrne and Farrell, 1997) and according to the

CQT theory,  $Q_{10}$  values and hence temperature sensitivity should increase with depth (Hardie et al., 2011; Hiltunen et al., 2013; Waddington et al., 2001). However, this was not confirmed in our study: the  $Q_{10}$  values of Flanders Moss were larger for the topsoil than for the subsoil, both for the intact part of the bog and the restored sites. Boone et al. (1998) found that soil  $Q_{10}$  was higher when roots were included in the measurement. Also, Davidson et al. (1998) assigned higher  $Q_{10}$  values in the field than in the lab to the inclusion of autotrophic respiration, whereas Rey et al. (2002) and Rey et al. (2008) found comparable  $Q_{10}$  values in the field and in an incubation study for a forest soil. However, in this study, the topsoil peat was slightly decomposed; yet, fine roots likely remained in the peat and could thus have contributed to the higher  $Q_{10}$  values of topsoil.

There are other studies that did not find a depth and age dependency in temperature sensitivity of SOM (Conen et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010), and some studies even found a higher temperature sensitivity of younger SOM (Bader et al., 2018; Christensen et al., 1999). Bader et al. (2018) assigned higher  $Q_{10}$  values to intensive land use and remaining highly decomposed topsoil peat after management. As discussed above, especially the afforested site was disturbed intensively for afforestation and restoration but nevertheless, according to the von Post humification index, the subsoil was more decomposed in all three sites than the topsoil peat (Appendix Table A.2). Likely, the temperature sensitivity did not solely depend on depth and quality of SOM but also on further factors like  $O_2$  availability and nutrient status (Davidson et al., 2006; Sihi et al., 2016; Szafranek-Nakonieczna and Stępniewska, 2014).

The aim of this study was to determine potential  $CO_2$  emissions of a differently used bog to conclude about the success of restoration after 20 years. We chose lab incubations as they allow a fast assessment of potential  $CO_2$  emission under controlled conditions and are hence suitable to pre-estimate the direction of the development of  $CO_2$  emission. Nevertheless, lab studies might underestimate the temperature sensitivity due to the exclusion of autotrophic respiration, which may respond more sensitive to temperature (Davidson et al., 1998). Also,  $CO_2$  emissions likely vary with changing water tables in the field (Wang et al., 2010; Yang et

al., 2017). Thus, our study only informs on the potential direction of future responses whereas the assessment of the true quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with temperature rise likely require longer-term monitoring in the field with subsequent modelling, which might then also help finding a conversion factor to transfer lab-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to field conditions, also for different levels of oxygen availability.

## **5. Conclusion**

Anthropogenically modified peatlands are widely rewetted to restore their carbon sink function and their natural resilience to environmental changes. The aim of this study was to assess the potential effects of restoration in a Scottish bog that had been drained and partly further afforested. We have to refute our initial hypothesis that both restored bogs showed a legacy of former land use in carbon stability, nutrient limitations and temperature sensitivity compared to intact bogs. Instead, the type of former land use and presumably the type of restoration mainly determined the success of restoration. The SOM of the formerly drained and further afforested site was likely of lower quality and more stable against decomposition but it was also more sensitive to temperature rise than in the intact and formerly drained bog. Hence, for a comprehensive assessment of the success of restoration, not only the actual conditions but also future emission potential need to be considered in the course of global change.

## **Acknowledgement**

This project was funded by Geoverbund ABC/J. We also thank Scottish Natural Heritage for enabling the sampling at Flanders Moss National Nature Reserve.

## **References**

Ad-hoc-AG Boden, 2005. Bodenkundliche Kartieranleitung: mit 103 Tabellen und 31 Listen, 5., verbesserte und erweiterte Auflage. ed. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung (Nägele und Obermiller), Stuttgart.

515 Aerts, R., Toet, S., 1997. Nutritional controls on carbon dioxide and methane emission from  
 516 Carex-dominated peat soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 29, 1683–1690.  
 517 doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00073-4

518 Alef, K., Nannipieri, P. (Eds.), 1995. *Methods in applied soil microbiology and biochemistry*, 2.  
 519 print. ed. Academic Press, London.

520 Allison, S.D., Czimczik, C.I., Treseder, K.K., 2008. Microbial activity and soil respiration under  
 521 nitrogen addition in Alaskan boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* 14, 1156–1168.  
 522 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01549.x

523 Anderson, R., 2001. Deforesting and restoring peat bogs: a review, Forestry Commission  
 524 technical paper. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.

525 Artz, R.R.E., Donnelly, D., Cuthbert, A., Evans, C., Reed, M., Kenter, J., Clark, J., 2012.  
 526 Restoration of lowland raised bogs in Scotland: Emissions savings and the implications  
 527 of a changing climate on lowland raised bog condition - Final Report.

528 Artz, R.R.E., Faccioli, M., Roberts, M., Anderson, R., 2018. Peatland restoration – a  
 529 comparative analysis of the costs and merits of different restoration methods.

530 Bader, C., Müller, M., Schulin, R., Leifeld, J., 2018. Peat decomposability in managed organic  
 531 soils in relation to land use, organic matter composition and temperature.  
 532 *Biogeosciences* 15, 703–719. doi:10.5194/bg-15-703-2018

533 Blagodatsky, S.A., Heinemeyer, O., Richter, J., 2000. Estimating the active and total soil  
 534 microbial biomass by kinetic respiration analysis. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 32, 73–  
 535 81. doi:10.1007/s003740000219

536 Bol, R., Bolger, T., Cully, R., Little, D., 2003. Recalcitrant soil organic materials mineralize more  
 537 efficiently at higher temperatures. *Journal Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 300–307.

538 Boone, R.D., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Canary, J.D., Kaye, J.P., 1998. Roots exert a strong influence  
 539 on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. *Nature* 396, 570–572.

540 Bosatta, E., Ågren, G.I., 1999. Soil organic matter quality interpreted thermodynamically. *Soil*  
 541 *Biology and Biochemistry* 31, 1889–1891. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00105-4



542 Bowden, R.D., Davidson, E., Savage, K., Arabia, C., Steudler, P., 2004. Chronic nitrogen  
 543 additions reduce total soil respiration and microbial respiration in temperate forest soils  
 544 at the Harvard Forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 196, 43–56.  
 545 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2004.03.011

546 Bragazza, L., Freeman, C., Jones, T., Rydin, H., Limpens, J., Fenner, N., Ellis, T., Gerdol, R.,  
 547 Hájek, T., Iacumin, P., Kutnar, L., Tahvanainen, T., Toberman, H., 2006. Atmospheric  
 548 nitrogen deposition promotes carbon loss from peat bogs. *Proceedings of the National*  
 549 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 19386–19389.

550 Byrne, K.A., Farrell, E.P., 1997. The Influence of Forestry on Blanket Peatland, in: *Humic*  
 551 *Substances, Peats and Sludges*. Elsevier, pp. 262–277. doi:10.1016/B978-1-85573-  
 552 805-8.50029-X

553 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 2019. UK Deposition Data | UK Pollutant Deposition [WWW  
 554 Document]. URL <http://www.pollutantdeposition.ceh.ac.uk/data> (accessed 6.4.19).

555 Chapin, C.T., Bridgman, S.D., Pastor, J., Updegraff, K., 2003. Nitrogen, phosphorus and  
 556 carbon mineralization in response to nutrient and lime addition in peatlands. *Soil*  
 557 *Science* 168, 409–420. doi:10.1097/01.ss.0000075286.87447.5d

558 Christensen, T.R., Jonasson, S., Callaghan, T.V., Havström, M., 1999. On the potential CO<sub>2</sub>  
 559 release from tundra soils in a changing climate. *Applied Soil Ecology* 11, 127–134.  
 560 doi:10.1016/S0929-1393(98)00146-2

561 Clymo, R.S., 1984. The Limits to Peat Bog Growth. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*  
 562 *Society B: Biological Sciences* 303, 605–654. doi:10.1098/rstb.1984.0002

563 Conant, R.T., Drijber, R.A., Haddix, M.L., Parton, W.J., Paul, E.A., Plante, A.F., Six, J.,  
 564 Steinweg, J.M., 2008. Sensitivity of organic matter decomposition to warming varies  
 565 with its quality: Temperature sensitivity of organic matter decomposition. *Global*  
 566 *Change Biology* 14, 868–877. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01541.x

567 Conen, F., Leifeld, B., Seth, B., Alewell, C., 2006. Warming mobilises young and old soil carbon  
 568 equally. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, European Geosciences Union 3, 1355–1366.

569 Craine, J.M., Morrow, C., Fierer, N., 2007. Microbial nitrogen limitation increases  
570 decomposition. *Ecology* 88, 2105–2113. doi:10.1890/06-1847.1

571 Creamer, R.E., Schulte, R.P.O., Stone, D., Gal, A., Krogh, P.H., Lo Papa, G., Murray, P.J.,  
572 Pérès, G., Foerster, B., Rutgers, M., Sousa, J.P., Winding, A., 2014. Measuring basal  
573 soil respiration across Europe: Do incubation temperature and incubation period  
574 matter? *Ecological Indicators* 36, 409–418. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.08.015

575 Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition  
576 and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* 440, 165–173. doi:10.1038/nature04514

577 Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., Luo, Y., 2006. On the variability of respiration in terrestrial  
578 ecosystems: moving beyond Q<sub>10</sub>. *Global Change Biology* 12, 154–164.  
579 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01065.x

580 Davidson, E.A., Belk, E., Boone, R.D., 1998. Soil water content and temperature as  
581 independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed  
582 hardwood forest. *Global Change Biology* 4, 217–227. doi:10.1046/j.1365-  
583 2486.1998.00128.x

584 Devito, K.J., Dillon, P.J., 1993. The influence of hydrologic conditions and peat oxia on the  
585 phosphorus and nitrogen dynamics of a conifer swamp. *Water Resources Research*  
586 29, 2675–2685. doi:10.1029/93WR00622

587 Drollinger, S., Knorr, K.-H., Knierzinger, W., Glatzel, S., 2020. Peat decomposition proxies of  
588 Alpine bogs along a degradation gradient. *Geoderma* 369, 114331.  
589 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114331

590 Fenner, N., Freeman, C., 2011. Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands. *Nature Geoscience*  
591 4, 895–900. doi:10.1038/ngeo1323

592 Finlayson, C.M., Milton, G.R., 2016. Peatlands, in: Finlayson, C. Max, Milton, G. Randy,  
593 Prentice, R.C., Davidson, N.C. (Eds.), *The Wetland Book*. Springer Netherlands,  
594 Dordrecht, pp. 1–18. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-6173-5\_202-1

595 Forest Research, 2009. *Stump Harvesting: Interim Guidance on Site Selection and Good*  
596 *Practice*.

597 Gaffney, P.P.J., Hancock, M.H., Taggart, M.A., Andersen, R., 2018. Measuring restoration  
 598 progress using pore- and surface-water chemistry across a chronosequence of  
 599 formerly afforested blanket bogs. *Journal of Environmental Management* 219, 239–  
 600 251. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.106

601 Glatzel, S., Basiliko, N., Moore, T., 2004. Carbon dioxide and methane production potentials  
 602 of peats from natural, harvested and restored sites, eastern Québec, Canada.  
 603 *Wetlands* 24, 261–267. doi:10.1672/0277-5212(2004)024[0261:CDAMPP]2.0.CO;2

604 Gorham, E., 1991. Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to  
 605 climatic warming. *Ecological Applications* 1, 182–195.

606 Gregorich, E.G., Janzen, H., Ellert, B.H., Helgason, B.L., Qian, B., Zebarth, B.J., Angers, D.A.,  
 607 Beyaert, R.P., Drury, C.F., Duguid, S.D., May, W.E., McConkey, B.G., Dyck, M.F.,  
 608 2017. Litter decay controlled by temperature, not soil properties, affecting future soil  
 609 carbon. *Global Change Biology* 23, 1725–1734. doi:10.1111/gcb.13502

610 Güsewell, S., 2004. N: P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance:  
 611 Tansley review. *New Phytologist* 164, 243–266. doi:10.1111/j.1469-  
 612 8137.2004.01192.x

613 Hardie, S.M.L., Garnett, M.H., Fallick, A.E., Rowland, A.P., Ostle, N.J., Flowers, T.H., 2011.  
 614 Abiotic drivers and their interactive effect on the flux and carbon isotope ( $^{14}\text{C}$  and  
 615  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) composition of peat-respired  $\text{CO}_2$ . *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 2432–  
 616 2440. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.08.010

617 Heller, C., Zeitz, J., 2012. Stability of soil organic matter in two northeastern German fen soils:  
 618 the influence of site and soil development. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 12, 1231–  
 619 1240. doi:10.1007/s11368-012-0500-6

620 Hermans, R., Zahn, N., Andersen, R., Teh, Y.A., Cowie, N., Subke, J.-A., 2019. An incubation  
 621 study of GHG flux responses to a changing water table linked to biochemical  
 622 parameters across a peatland restoration chronosequence. *Mires and Peat* 1–18.  
 623 doi:10.19189/MaP.2018.DW.354

624 Hilasvuori, E., Akujärvi, A., Fritze, H., Karhu, K., Laiho, R., Mäkiranta, P., Oinonen, M.,  
 625 Palonen, V., Vanhala, P., Liski, J., 2013. Temperature sensitivity of decomposition in a  
 626 peat profile. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 67, 47–54.  
 627 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.009

628 Hoyos-Santillan, J., Lomax, B.H., Turner, B.L., Sjögersten, S., 2018. Nutrient limitation or  
 629 home field advantage: Does microbial community adaptation overcome nutrient  
 630 limitation of litter decomposition in a tropical peatland? *Journal of Ecology* 106, 1558–  
 631 1569. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12923

632 ISO 16072, 2002. Soil quality- laboratory methods for determination of microbial soil  
 633 respiration.

634 ISO 17155, 2012. Soil quality - Determination of abundance and activity of soil microflora using  
 635 respiration curves.

636 Joosten, H., Couwenberg, J., 2008. Peatlands and carbon, in: *Assessment on Peatlands,*  
 637 *Biodiversity and Climate Change: Main Report.*, Global Environment Centre, Kuala  
 638 Lumpur and Wetlands International. Wageningen, pp. 99–117.

639 Kirschbaum, M., 2006. The temperature dependence of organic-matter decomposition—still a  
 640 topic of debate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 2510–2518.  
 641 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.01.030

642 Kirschbaum, M.U.F., 1995. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition,  
 643 and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biology and*  
 644 *Biochemistry* 27, 753–760. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(94)00242-S

645 Kleber, M., 2010. What is recalcitrant soil organic matter? *Environmental Chemistry* 7, 320.  
 646 doi:10.1071/EN10006

647 Kögel-Knabner, I., Amelung, W., 2014. Dynamics, Chemistry, and Preservation of Organic  
 648 Matter in Soils, in: *Treatise on Geochemistry.* Elsevier, pp. 157–215. doi:10.1016/B978-  
 649 0-08-095975-7.01012-3

650 Konings, W., Boyd, K.G., Andersen, R., 2019. Comparison of plant traits of sedges, shrubs  
 651 and Sphagnum mosses between sites undergoing forest-to-bog restoration and near-

652 natural open blanket bog: a pilot study. *Mires and Peat* 1–10.  
 653 doi:10.19189/MaP.2017.OMB.307

654 Krüger, J.P., Leifeld, J., Glatzel, S., Szidat, S., Alewell, C., 2015. Biogeochemical indicators of  
 655 peatland degradation – a case study of a temperate bog in northern Germany.  
 656 *Biogeosciences* 12, 2861–2871. doi:10.5194/bg-12-2861-2015

657 Lafleur, P.M., Moore, T.R., Roulet, N.T., Frolking, S., 2005. Ecosystem Respiration in a Cool  
 658 Temperate Bog Depends on Peat Temperature But Not Water Table. *Ecosystems* 8,  
 659 619–629. doi:10.1007/s10021-003-0131-2

660 Larmola, T., Bubier, J.L., Kobyljanec, C., Basiliko, N., Juutinen, S., Humphreys, E., Preston,  
 661 M., Moore, T.R., 2013. Vegetation feedbacks of nutrient addition lead to a weaker  
 662 carbon sink in an ombrotrophic bog. *Global Change Biology* 19, 3729–3739.  
 663 doi:10.1111/gcb.12328

664 Leifeld, J., Steffens, M., Galego-Sala, A., 2012. Sensitivity of peatland carbon loss to organic  
 665 matter quality: Peat quality and carbon loss. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39.  
 666 doi:10.1029/2012GL051856

667 Meyer, N., Welp, G., Amelung, W., 2018. The Temperature Sensitivity (Q<sub>10</sub>) of Soil  
 668 Respiration: Controlling Factors and Spatial Prediction at Regional Scale Based on  
 669 Environmental Soil Classes. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 32, 306–323.  
 670 doi:10.1002/2017GB005644

671 Minkinen, K., Byrne, K.A., Trettin, C., 2008. Climate Impacts of Peatland Forestry, in: Strack,  
 672 M. (Ed.), *Peatlands and Climate Change*. pp. 98–122.

673 Mo, J., Brown, S., Xue, J., Fang, Y., Li, Z., 2006. Response of Litter Decomposition to  
 674 Simulated N Deposition in Disturbed, Rehabilitated and Mature Forests in Subtropical  
 675 China. *Plant and Soil* 282, 135–151. doi:10.1007/s11104-005-5446-7

676 Moore, T.R., Dalva, M., 1997. Methane and carbon dioxide exchange potentials of peat soils  
 677 in aerobic and anerobic laboratory incubations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* Vol. 29,  
 678 1157–1164.

679 Moore, T.R., Knorr, K.-H., Thompson, L., Roy, C., Bubier, J.L., 2019. The effect of long-term  
680 fertilization on peat in an ombrotrophic bog. *Geoderma* 343, 176–186.  
681 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.02.034

682 Moore, T.R., Knowles, R., 1989. The influence of water table levels on methane and carbon  
683 dioxide emissions from peatlands soils. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 69, 33–38.  
684 doi:10.4141/cjss89-004

685 Moore, T.R., Trofymow, J.A., Siltanen, M., Kozak, L.M., 2008. Litter decomposition and  
686 nitrogen and phosphorus dynamics in peatlands and uplands over 12 years in central  
687 Canada. *Oecologia* 157, 317–325. doi:10.1007/s00442-008-1076-0

688 Nordgren, A., 1988. Apparatus for the continuous, long-term monitoring of soil respiration rate  
689 in large numbers of samples. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 20, 955–957.  
690 doi:10.1016/0038-0717(88)90110-1

691 Olde Venterink, H., Wassen, M.J., Verkroost, A.W.M., De Ruiter, P.C., 2003. Species richness-  
692 productivity patterns differ between N-, P-, and K-limited wetlands. *Ecology* 84, 2191–  
693 2199. doi:10.1890/01-0639

694 Parish, F., Sirin, A., Charman, D., Joosten, H., Minayeva, T., Silvius, M., Stringer, L., 2008.  
695 Assessment on peatlands, biodiversity, and climate change. Global Environment  
696 Centre & Wetlands International, Kuala Lumpur, Wageningen.

697 Payne, R.J., Anderson, A.R., Sloan, T., Gilbert, P., Newton, A., Ratcliffe, J., Mauquoy, D.,  
698 Jessop, W., Andersen, R., 2018. The future of peatland forestry in Scotland : balancing  
699 economics, carbon and biodiversity *Scottish Forestry*, 34–40.

700 Philben, M., Holmquist, J., MacDonald, G., Duan, D., Kaiser, K., Benner, R., 2015.  
701 Temperature, oxygen, and vegetation controls on decomposition in a James Bay  
702 peatland: CONTROLS ON PEAT DECOMPOSITION. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*  
703 29, 729–743. doi:10.1002/2014GB004989

704 Pitkänen, A., Turunen, J., Simola, H., 2011. Comparison of different types of peat corers in  
705 volumetric sampling. *Suoseura - Finnish Peatland Society* 62, 51–57.

706 Rey, A., Pegoraro, E., Tedeschi, V., De Parri, I., Jarvis, P.G., Valentini, R., 2002. Annual  
 707 variation in soil respiration and its components in a coppice oak forest in Central Italy:  
 708 ANNUAL VARIATION IN SOIL RESPIRATION. *Global Change Biology* 8, 851–866.  
 709 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00521.x

710 Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Jarvis, P.G., Grace, J., 2005. Effect of temperature and moisture on  
 711 rates of carbon mineralization in a Mediterranean oak forest soil under controlled and  
 712 field conditions. *European Journal of Soil Science* 56, 589–599. doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
 713 2389.2004.00699.x

714 Scanlon, D., Moore, T., 2000. Carbon dioxide production from peatland soil profiles: The  
 715 influence of temperature, oxic/anoxic conditions and substrate. *Soil Science* 165, 153–  
 716 160. doi:10.1097/00010694-200002000-00006

717 Sihi, D., Inglett, P.W., Inglett, K.S., 2016. Carbon quality and nutrient status drive the  
 718 temperature sensitivity of organic matter decomposition in subtropical peat soils.  
 719 *Biogeochemistry* 131, 103–119. doi:10.1007/s10533-016-0267-8

720 Silvola, J., Välijoki, J., Aaltonen, H., 1985. Effect of draining and fertilization on soil respiration  
 721 at three ameliorated peatland sites. *Acta Forestalia Fennica* 0. doi:10.14214/aff.7637

722 Smirnova, N., Demyan, M.S., Rasche, F., Cadisch, G., Müller, T., 2014. Calibration of CO<sub>2</sub>  
 723 Trapping in Alkaline Solutions during Soil Incubation at Varying Temperatures Using a  
 724 Respicond VI. *Open Journal of Soil Science* 04, 161–167.  
 725 doi:10.4236/ojss.2014.45019

726 Sundström, E., Magnusson, T., Hånell, B., 2000. Nutrient conditions in drained peatlands along  
 727 a north-south climatic gradient in Sweden. *Forest Ecology and Management* 126, 149–  
 728 161. doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00098-5

729 Szafranek-Nakonieczna, A., Stêpniewska, Z., 2014. Aerobic and Anaerobic Respiration in  
 730 Profiles of Polesie Lubelskie Peatlands. *International Agrophysics* 28, 219–229.  
 731 doi:10.2478/intag-2014-0011

732 Tfaily, M.M., Cooper, W.T., Kostka, J.E., Chanton, P.R., Schadt, C.W., Hanson, P.J., Iversen,  
 733 C.M., Chanton, J.P., 2014. Organic matter transformation in the peat column at Marcell



734 Experimental Forest: Humification and vertical stratification: Organic matter dynamics.  
735 Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 119, 661–675.  
736 doi:10.1002/2013JG002492

737 Updegraff, K., Pastor, J., Bridgham, S.D., Johnston, C.A., 1995. Environmental and Substrate  
738 Controls over Carbon and Nitrogen Mineralization in Northern Wetlands. Ecological  
739 Applications 5, 151–163. doi:10.2307/1942060

740 Vanguelova, E., Chapman, S., Perks, M., Yamulki, S., Randle, T., Ashwood, F., Morison, J.,  
741 2018. Afforestation and restocking on peaty soils – new evidence assessment.

742 Vitousek, P.M., Porder, S., Houlton, B.Z., Chadwick, O.A., 2010. Terrestrial phosphorus  
743 limitation: mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen–phosphorus interactions. Ecological  
744 Applications 20, 5–15. doi:10.1890/08-0127.1

745 Waddington, J.M., Rotenberg, P.A., Warren, F.J., 2001. Peat CO<sub>2</sub> production in a natural and  
746 cutover peatland: Implications for restoration. Biogeochemistry 54, 115–130.

747 Wang, M., Moore, T.R., Talbot, J., Richard, P.J.H., 2014. The cascade of C:N:P stoichiometry  
748 in an ombrotrophic peatland: from plants to peat. Environmental Research Letters 9,  
749 024003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/2/024003

750 Wang, X., Li, X., Hu, Y., Lv, J., Sun, J., Li, Z., Wu, Z., 2010. Effect of temperature and moisture  
751 on soil organic carbon mineralization of predominantly permafrost peatland in the Great  
752 Hing'an Mountains, Northeastern China. Journal of Environmental Sciences 22, 1057–  
753 1066.

754 Wells, E.D., Williams, B.L., 1996. Effects of drainage, tilling and PK-fertilization on bulk density,  
755 total N, P, K, Ca and Fe and net N-mineralization in two peatland forestry sites in  
756 Newfoundland, Canada. Forest Ecology and Management 84, 97–108.  
757 doi:10.1016/0378-1127(96)03741-3

758 Wright, A.L., Reddy, K.R., 2007. Substrate-Induced Respiration for Phosphorus-Enriched and  
759 Oligotrophic Peat Soils in an Everglades Wetland. Soil Science Society of America  
760 Journal 71, 1579–1583. doi:10.2136/sssaj2007.0095

- Wüst-Galley, C., Mössinger, E., Leifeld, J., 2016. Loss of the soil carbon storage function of drained forested peatlands. *Mires and Peat* 1–22. doi:10.19189/MaP.2015.OMB.189
- Yang, G., Wang, M., Chen, H., Liu, L., Wu, N., Zhu, D., Tian, J., Peng, C., Zhu, Q., He, Y., 2017. Responses of CO<sub>2</sub> emission and pore water DOC concentration to soil warming and water table drawdown in Zoige Peatlands. *Atmospheric Environment* 152, 323–329. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.051
- Zhang, Z., Xue, Z., Lyu, X., Tong, S., Jiang, M., 2017. Scaling of soil carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and C:N:P ratio patterns in peatlands of China. *Chinese Geographical Science* 27, 507–515. doi:10.1007/s11769-017-0884-8
- Zimmermann, M., Meir, P., Bird, M.I., Malhi, Y., Ccahuana, A.J.Q., 2009. Climate dependence of heterotrophic soil respiration from a soil-translocation experiment along a 3000 m tropical forest altitudinal gradient. *European Journal of Soil Science* 60, 895–906. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01175.x

## Appendix

Table A.1: Mean soil properties [ $n=3$ ] with Standard Deviation. Lower case letters (<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>) indicate significant differences between sites and within one depth and capital letters (<sup>A</sup>, <sup>B</sup>, <sup>C</sup>) indicate significant differences between depths and within one site ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Site	Depth [cm]	Bulk density [g cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	C <sub>org</sub> [g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil]	N <sub>tot</sub> [g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil]	C:N ratio	C stock [t ha <sup>-1</sup> ]
<b>Control</b>	0-10	0.11 <sup>A</sup>	452.2 <sup>A</sup>	10.0	49.5	49.7
		± 0.05	± 2.1	± 4.3	± 17.2	± 24
	10-20	0.06 <sup>AB</sup>	488.6 <sup>B</sup>	14.9	33.2	29.0
		± 0.02	± 5.8	± 2.3	± 4.6	± 11
	20-40	0.04 <sup>B</sup>	491.4 <sup>B</sup>	13.6	36.6	38.5
		± 0.01	± 5.9	± 1.7	± 4.9	± 13
	40-60	0.05 <sup>AB</sup>	475.2 <sup>AB</sup>	11.7	42.5	50.1
		± 0.00	± 16.5	± 3.0	± 10.9	± 3
	60-80	0.05 <sup>B</sup>	501.5 <sup>B</sup>	11.9 <sup>a</sup>	42.2 <sup>a</sup>	46.4
		± 0.02	± 4.5	± 0.3	± 1.4	± 15
	80-100	0.05 <sup>AB</sup>	506.0 <sup>B</sup>	13.2 <sup>a</sup>	38.7 <sup>b</sup>	51.8
		± 0.02	± 2.9	± 1.4	± 4.2	± 17
<b>Drainage</b>	0-10	0.14 <sup>A</sup>	469.4 <sup>A</sup>	13.9 <sup>A</sup>	33.9 <sup>A</sup>	65.2
		± 0.06	± 13.1	± 1.2	± 3.5	± 25
	10-20	0.05 <sup>BC</sup>	478.5 <sup>AB</sup>	14.0 <sup>A</sup>	34.4 <sup>A</sup>	25.3
		± 0.01	± 0.6	± 1.2	± 3.0	± 4
	20-40	0.08 <sup>B</sup>	468.1 <sup>A</sup>	13.5 <sup>A</sup>	34.9 <sup>A</sup>	70.3
		± 0.02	± 23.1	± 0.5	± 3.2	± 17
	40-60	0.06 <sup>BC</sup>	481.1 <sup>AB</sup>	9.3 <sup>B</sup>	52.6 <sup>B</sup>	56.3
		± 0.01	± 9.2	± 1.3	± 6.3	± 9
	60-80	0.05 <sup>C</sup>	514.0 <sup>B</sup>	11.7 <sup>aA</sup>	44.3 <sup>aB</sup>	46.4
		± 0.01	± 2.1	± 0.9	± 3.6	± 7
	80-100	0.04 <sup>BC</sup>	501.6 <sup>AB</sup>	6.7 <sup>bC</sup>	75.2 <sup>aC</sup>	41.0
		± 0.01	± 6.2	± 0.3	± 4.5	± 5
<b>Forestry</b>	0-10	0.21 <sup>A</sup>	468.9	14.0 <sup>A</sup>	33.6 <sup>A</sup>	97.2
		± 0.01	± 16.7	± 0.6	± 0.3	± 7
	10-20	0.07 <sup>B</sup>	491.5	14.9 <sup>A</sup>	34.5 <sup>A</sup>	36.7
		± 0.02	± 12.3	± 3.6	± 8.9	± 12
	20-40	0.07 <sup>BC</sup>	491.3	10.6 <sup>AB</sup>	47.5 <sup>B</sup>	64.0
		± 0.01	± 29.4	± 2.2	± 7.1	± 13
	40-60	0.07 <sup>B</sup>	503.4	10.2 <sup>AB</sup>	50.8 <sup>BC</sup>	69.5
		± 0.01	± 8.9	± 2.3	± 9.6	± 13
	60-80	0.05 <sup>CD</sup>	491.5	7.8 <sup>bB</sup>	62.9 <sup>bBC</sup>	47.5
		± 0.01	± 24.8	± 0.3	± 5.4	± 7
	80-100	0.04 <sup>D</sup>	508.0	7.7 <sup>bB</sup>	66.4 <sup>aC</sup>	44.6
		± 0.00	± 0.2	± 0.1	± 0.5	± 2

Table A.2: Peat characteristics of the incubated samples with Standard Deviation [mean values; n=3]. Significant differences between sites are indicated by lower case letters (<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>) ( $p < 0.05$ ). Significant differences between depths are indicated by capital letters (<sup>A</sup>, <sup>B</sup>) ( $p < 0.05$ ).

	Depth [cm]	pH value	Water content [%]	Von Post humification index	P <sub>tot</sub> [mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil]	C:N:P ratio
<b>Control</b>	0-10	3.0 ± 0.2 <sup>ab</sup>	90.1 ± 0.0	3.2 ± 0.3 <sup>A</sup>	477 ± 182 <sup>A</sup>	948:21:1
	40-60	3.0 ± 0.1	90.3 ± 0.0	6.7 ± 0.6 <sup>B</sup>	196 ± 54 <sup>Ba</sup>	2424:60:1
<b>Drainage</b>	0-10	3.1 ± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	87.2 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.8 <sup>A</sup>	334 ± 51 <sup>A</sup>	1405:42:1
	40-60	2.9 ± 0.1	90.5 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.0 <sup>B</sup>	108 ± 10 <sup>Bb</sup>	4455:86:1
<b>Forestry</b>	0-10	2.8 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	81.9 ± 0.0	4.0 ± 1.0 <sup>A</sup>	412 ± 92 <sup>A</sup>	1138:34:1
	40-60	2.8 ± 0.1	89.2 ± 0.0	8.0 ± 0.0 <sup>B</sup>	98 ± 1 <sup>Bb</sup>	5137:104:1




Figure B. 1: Pictures from the three study sites. Control: intact site without further management; Drainage: site which was formerly drained prior to restoration; Forestry: site which was drained and used for commercial forestry prior to restoration.